Case3:09-cv-04022-JSW Document53 Filed 12/17/10 Page 1106 33 1 STEVEN G. ZIEFF (State Bar No. 84222) KENNETH J. SUGARMAN (State Bar No. 195059) 2 JOHN T. MULLAN (State Bar No. 221149) RUDY, EXELROD, ZIEFF & LOWE, LLP 3 351 California Street, Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94104 4 Telephone: (415) 434-9800 5 Facsimile: (415) 434-0513 sgz@rezlaw.com 6 kjs@rezlaw.com itm@rezlaw.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO / OAKLAND DIVISION 12 13 EUNICE LEE, and SUSAN MONTEGNA, Case No. 09-04022 (JSW) individually and on behalf of all others 14 similarly situated, **CLASS ACTION** 15 Plaintiffs. 16 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING v. PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD 17 **OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND** ULTA SALON, COSMETICS & REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS AND FRAGRANCE, INC. 18 **EXPENSES** 19 Date: December 17, 2010 Defendant. Time: 9 A.M. 20 Courtroom: 11 21 Before: Honorable Jeffrey S. White 22 Complaint Filed: July 28, 2009 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS AND EXPENSES CASE NO. 09-04022 (JSW) 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

28

This matter came on for hearing on December 17, 2010, upon Plaintiffs' Motion for Award
of Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Costs. The Court having reviewed the motion and
supporting papers, and being familiar with the record in these proceedings, and having given final
approval to the class action settlement in this matter on the term set forth in the Stipulation of
Settlement and Release, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds and orders that:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, including the instant motion, the Defendant Ulta Salon, Cosmetics & Fragrance, Inc., and the Class.
- 2. Notice of the class action settlement, including the request for attorneys' fees and costs reimbursement was sent in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order and in compliance with Rule 23(h)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by mailing to class members' most recent know addresses.
- 3. Class members have been given the opportunity to object in compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h)(2). No objections were received.
- 4. The amount of the reasonable attorneys' fees awarded to Class Counsel should be based on the percentage of the common fund approach. Blum v. Stenson, 465 U.S. 886, 900 n.16 (1984). An attorneys' fees award of \$350,000, equivalent to 23.7 percent of the common fund, is proper based on this Circuit's benchmark of 25 percent. See e.g., Vizcaino v. Microsoft Corp., 290 F.3d 1043 (9th Cir. 2002); Six Mexican Workers v. Arizona Citrus Growers, 904 F.2d 1301 (9th Cir. 1990); Paul, Johnson, Alston & Hunt v. Graulty, 886 F.2d 268 (9th Cir. 1989). This award is based on attorneys' fees awards issued in similar cases, the Ninth Circuit's 25% benchmark, that the common fund of \$1,477,000 was created for the Class through the efforts of Class Counsel, that Class Counsel has litigated this case diligently and has achieved an outstanding result for the Class, that Class Counsel has litigated the case to date without receiving any compensation, and the reasonableness and fairness of the requested award in view of the results obtained, the hours and efforts expended, and the risks undertaken by Class Counsel.

///

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	5.	The litigation costs and expenses incurred by Class Counsel through November 11
2010	have bee	en adequately documented and were reasonably incurred for the benefit of the Class.
Class	Counsel	have incurred and will continue to incur additional costs and expenses in connection
with 1	noving f	or final approval of the settlement and approval of a fees and costs award,
imple	menting	the settlement, and bringing this matter to a final resolution. Therefore, the Court
finds	that reim	abursement of costs and expenses in an amount not to exceed \$15,000 is reasonable
and ju	ıstified.	

WHEREFORE, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS:

Class Counsel (Rudy, Exelrod, Zieff & Lowe, LLP) are hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$350,000 and reimbursement of costs and expenses in an amount not to exceed \$15,000. The Settlement Administrator shall pay the awarded fees and costs to Class Counsel in accordance with the terms of this Order and the terms set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement and Release. Prior to such payment, Class Counsel shall certify to the Settlement Administrator the then-current amount of Counsel's costs and expenses incurred, which amount, not to exceed \$15,000, shall be the amount of the costs reimbursement to be paid to Class Counsel. Counsel shall also file this certification with the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 17, 2010